$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	H···A	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C(24)—H(C24)···O(1)	2.63	3.55(1)	146
C(34)—H(C34)···O(2)	2.26	3.22(1)	152
C(44)— $H(C44)$ ··· $O(1)$	2.63	3.56(1)	146
$O(1) - H(O1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(W2)$	2.13	3.04(1)	153
$O(2) - H(O2) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl(1)$	2.09	3.165 (9)	177

The crystal for data collection was sealed in a glass capillary with a small quantity of mother liquor. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by block-diagonal least squares. The H atoms were located on a difference map except for eight of those attached to water molecules. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were fixed with isotropic displacement parameters ($U = 0.06 \text{ Å}^2$) in the final cycles of refinements. All calculations were performed using the *SHELXTL* crystallographic software package (Sheldrick, 1983).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1097). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cramer, R. E. & Carrie, M. J. J. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 3902-3904.
- Cramer, R. E., Fermin, V., Kuwabara, E., Kirkup, R., Selman, M., Aoki, K., Adeyemo, A. & Yamazaki, H. (1991). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 7033-7034.
- Cramer, R. E., Maynard, R. B. & Ibers, J. A. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 76-81.
- Dietrich, B., Hosseini, M. W., Lehn, J. M. & Sessions, R. B. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 1282-1283.

Graf, E. & Lehn, J. M. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 6403-6405. Hu, N.-H. (1993). Jiegou Huaxue, 12, 60-64.

Lehn, J. M. (1988). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27, 89-112.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1983). SHELXTL User's Manual. Revision 4. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 2085–2087

Camphene-1-carboxylic Acid: Stereochemistry of the Nametkin Shift

T. STANLEY CAMERON AND KLAUS JOCHEM

Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4J3

DAVID G. MORRIS AND JOHN MAGUIRE

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland

(Received 28 October 1992; accepted 23 May 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, 2,2-dimethyl-3-methylenebicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid, is a hydrogen-

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved bonded dimer, $(C_{11}H_{16}O_2)_2$. This structure determination provides some evidence for the idea that, in the Nametkin Shift, migration of the *exo* methyl group may be marginally preferred.

Comment

The Nametkin rearrangement refers to 3,2-methyl shifts in norbornyl cations (Nametkin & Brussoff, 1927). Both the methyl and the 3,2-hydride shifts have been well documented, and a general preference for an *exo* shift is observed (Berson, Hammons, McRowe, Bergman, Remanik & Houston, 1967; David, Everling, Kilian, Stothers & Vaughan, 1973), although authentic examples of *endo* shifts exist (Bushell & Wilder, 1967; Dadson, Hutchinson & Money, 1990). Attention has centred on the reasons for the preferred *exo* shifts and, in particular, on the nature of the transition state for migration.

A crystal structure determination of camphene-8carboxylic acid, (1), revealed that the methyl groups were unsymmetrically disposed with respect to the double bond (Moews, Knox & Vaughan, 1978). Thus, the dihedral angle C8-C2-C3-C9 was 65.1°, compared with a value of 55.9° for C8-C2-C3—C10. From this, the authors concluded that the observed conformations of the gem-dimethyl groups could result, in the corresponding cation (2) of the Nametkin rearrangement (shown as the classical ion for convenience), in a preferred exo, exo 3,2-methyl migration, since the p orbital at C2 and the C3-C9 [*i.e.* the C3—C(Me)_{exo}] bond are closer to being parallel. Camphene-8-carboxylic acid exists, as is normal, as a hydrogen-bonded dimer in the solid state. However, this structure carries a carboxylic acid group on the double bond, which is the part of the molecule under closest scrutiny. Accordingly, we have undertaken an X-ray structure determination of camphene-1-carboxylic acid (3) in which the carboxylic acid group is located at the bridgehead position.



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994

As in (1), the title compound (3) forms a hydrogen-bonded dimer of two unique molecules. However, both these molecules are very similar, with the exception of the orientations of the carboxyl groups, and, in most instances, the average values of their dimensions will be used in this discussion. The enantiomers chosen were those which corresponded to the structure of (1); attempts to establish the absolute configuration were not successful. Absolute values are quoted in the text for most torsion angles in order to correspond with those given in the discussion of (1) (Moews, Knox & Vaughan, 1978). In (3), the two dihedral angles which indicate the disposition of the methyl groups with respect to the double bond are C8-C2-C3-C9 [62.3 (5)°] and C8-C2-C3-C10 [58.3 (6)°]. The values of these are comparable with the aforementioned corresponding values in (1) (65.1 and 55.9°). Other relevant dihedral angles in (3) are those of the appropriate methyl and methylene groups around the C3-C4 bond; C9-C3-C4-C7 is $81.6 (4)^\circ$, compared to values of 79.1 and 158.2° for (1). The ring dihedral angles around the two carbon bridges in (3), C1- $-0.7 (4)^{\circ}$ and C4-C5-C6-C1 C2-C3-C4 $4.6 (4)^{\circ}$, may be compared with values of 2.8 and 2.9° , respectively, in (1). Similarly, the angles C1-C2-C8 of 128.4 (4), C3-C2-C8 of 125.3 (4) and C1-C2-C3 of 106.3 (4)° around the 'essentially planar' ring atom C2 can be compared with 130.2 (3), 123.72 and 106.1 (3) $^{\circ}$, respectively, in (1).

The data for (1) led Moews, Knox & Vaughan (1978) to suggest that the asymmetric angular distortion at C2 results from a contact between the H atom at C1 and an O atom of the carbonyl group. In (3), the bridgehead angle C2-C1-C11 is widened to 118.4 (4) $^{\circ}$ and is similar to C7-C1-C11 of 116.4 (4) $^{\circ}$; the other valence angle at this bridgehead, C6—C1—C11, is significantly smaller, at $110.9 (4)^{\circ}$. The orientations of the carboxylic acid groups in the two molecules of (3) are significantly different and the torsion angles involving the ring atoms are C11-C1-C2-C8 15.5 (6)° (mean), C2-C1-C1C11-O2 - 36.1 (8) and 128.4 (6)° and C2-C1-C11-O1 144.5 (5) and -53.4 (7)°. Our data for (3) do not indicate any significant interaction between the carboxyl groups and the double bonds, and thus the carboxyl group has no influence on the conformations of the double bonds with respect to the methyl group.

The present structure is consistent with the proposal of Moews, Knox & Vaughan (1978) on the significance of the *p*-orbital orientation at C2 (see above), although our torsion-angle values are slightly smaller. Here we have provided a second and, with the shifted carboxyl group, more appropriate example of the proposal. Thus, the conformation of the methyl groups with respect to the exocyclic double bond (which simulates the p orbital of a carbocation) is, together with the reaction pathway, relevant to the question of which methyl group migrates.

On the basis of the X-ray data for (3) and (1), the migration of the exo-methyl group is the preferred option. However, it is not the overwhelmingly preferred option, since there are a small number of cases where the endo 3,2-migration occurs and these cases need further structural investigation.



Fig. 1. A view of the molecules in the unit cell, projected onto the plane of the carboxyl group.

Experimental

Crystal data	
$C_{11}H_{16}O_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 180$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
P2 ₁	reflections
a = 6.193 (2) Å	$\theta = 10-12^{\circ}$
b = 10.609 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.074 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 15.492 (4) Å	T = 291 K
$\beta = 86.42 (2)^{\circ}$	Tablet
V = 1015.9 (1) Å ³	Colourless
Z = 4	
$D_x = 1.11 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.03$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 22.0^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$
none	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
1604 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
1457 independent reflections	monitored every 100
708 observed reflections	reflections
$[I > \sigma(I)]$	intensity variation: none
Refinement	
	()) 0147

Refinement on F R = 0.0407wR = 0.0429S = 0.241708 reflections 166 parameters H-atom parameters not refined $w = 0.02/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$ $+ 0.01995 F_o^2$

- $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.147$ $(U_{23} \text{ of } C9)$
- $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.107 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.154 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic orequivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	$U_{\rm iso}/U_{\rm eq}$
C1	-0.0662 (10)	-0.1892 (6)	0.0522 (4)	0.0387 (14)
C2	-0.1097 (10)	-0.2796 (6)	0.1249 (4)	0.0442 (15)
C3	0.0076 (11)	-0.2289(7)	0.2011 (4)	0.0520 (17)
C4	0.1093 (12)	-0.1064 (8)	0.1619 (4)	0.0624 (19)
C5	0.2971 (11)	-0.1377 (8)	0.0947 (5)	0.0658 (48)
C6	0.1783 (10)	-0.2033 (7)	0.0214 (4)	0.0571 (44)
C7	-0.0635 (12)	-0.0625 (6)	0.1000 (4)	0.0497 (17)
C8	-0.2220 (13)	-0.3842 (8)	0.1263 (5)	0.0662 (21)
C9	-0.1558 (16)	-0.1957 (11)	0.2767 (5)	0.0978 (69)
C10	0.1725 (14)	-0.3219 (8)	0.2342 (5)	0.0802 (57)
C11	-0.2018 (11)	-0.1963 (6)	-0.0228 (4)	0.0452 (16)
01	-0.2468 (10)	-0.0913 (5)	-0.0591 (3)	0.0770 (35)
O2	-0.2686 (10)	-0.2964 (5)	-0.0508 (3)	0.0799 (36)
C1′	-0.6555 (10)	-0.2302 (6)	-0.3046 (4)	0.0447 (16)†
C2′	-0.6046 (11)	-0.3361 (6)	-0.3690 (4)	0.0454 (17)†
C3′	-0.7052(11)	-0.2970 (7)	-0.4527 (4)	0.0529 (17)†
C4′	-0.8135 (11)	-0.1717 (6)	-0.4258 (4)	0.0508 (18)†
C5'	-1.0062(11)	-0.1940 (9)	-0.3620 (5)	0.0705 (51)
C6′	-0.9052 (11)	-0.2406 (8)	-0.2789 (5)	0.0641 (52)
C7′	-0.6487 (11)	-0.1155 (7)	-0.3640 (4)	0.0515 (17)†
C8′	-0.4879 (12)	-0.4380 (7)	-0.3576 (5)	0.0600 (19)†
C9′	-0.5248 (13)	-0.2782 (8)	-0.5255 (5)	0.0755 (54)
C10′	-0.08593 (14)	-0.3987 (9)	-0.4804 (5)	0.0788 (56)
C11'	-0.05254 (11)	-0.2212 (7)	-0.2271 (4)	0.0459 (16)†
01'	-0.05154 (10)	-0.3215 (5)	-0.1806 (3)	0.0836 (40)
O2′	-0.04380 (9)	-0.1225 (5)	-0.2079 (3)	0.0643 (30)

 $\dagger U_{\rm iso}$.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

			, /
C1—C2	1.491 (9)	C1'C2'	1.521 (9)
C1-C6	1.566 (9)	C1'C6'	1.577 (10)
C1C7	1.536 (9)	C1'—C7'	1.524 (9)
C1C11	1.477 (9)	C1'—C11'	1.490 (9)
C2—C3	1.522 (9)	C2'—C3'	1.532 (9)
C2—C8	1.310 (10)	C2'—C8'	1.318 (10)
C3C4	1.552 (10)	C3'—C4'	1.535 (10)
C3—C9	1.540 (12)	C3'C9'	1.549 (11)
C3—C10	1.532 (11)	C3'C10'	1.521 (11)
C4C5	1.547 (11)	C4'—C5'	1.519 (10)
C4C7	1.552 (10)	C4'C7'	1.560 (10)
C5—C6	1.556 (10)	C5'—C6'	1.547 (11)
C11-01	1.287 (8)	C11'—O1'	1.289 (9)
C11—O2	1.229 (8)	C11'—O2'	1.223 (8)
C2C1C6	107.1 (5)	C2'—C1'—C6'	105.9 (5)
C2C1C7	101.8 (5)	C2'—C1'—C7'	101.4 (5)
C2C1C11	118.5 (5)	C2'C1'C11'	118.3 (5)
C6-C1-C7	101.0 (5)	C6'—C1'—C7'	101.4 (5)
C6-C1-C11	110.0 (5)	C6'-C1'-C11'	111.8 (5)
C7—C1—C11	116.6 (5)	C7'—C1'—C11'	116.1 (5)
C1-C2-C3	106.6 (5)	C1'-C2'-C3'	106.0 (5)
C1-C2-C8	129.0 (6)	C1'-C2'-C8'	127.7 (6)
C3—C2—C8	124.4 (6)	C3'—C2'—C8'	126.2 (6)
C2-C3-C4	101.2 (5)	C2'-C3'-C4'	101.4 (5)
C2-C3-C9	110.4 (6)	C2'—C3'—C9'	109.8 (6)
C2-C3-C10	113.1 (6)	C2'C3'C10'	110.0 (6)
C4C3C9	109.8 (6)	C4'—C3'—C9'	111.7 (6)
C4-C3-C10	114.1 (6)	C4'C3'C10'	114.8 (6)
C9—C3—C10	108.3 (6)	C9'—C3'—C10'	108.8 (6)
C3C4C5	110.7 (6)	C3'C4'C5'	110.8 (6)
C3-C4-C7	102.4 (6)	C3'—C4'—C7'	101.9 (5)
C5C4C7	99.7 (6)	C5'—C4'—C7'	100.3 (5)
C4C5C6	102.5 (6)	C4'—C5'—C6'	104.4 (6)
C1-C6-C5	103.1 (5)	C1'—C6'—C5'	102.0 (6)
C1—C7—C4	93.7 (5)	C1'—C7'—C4'	94.1 (5)
C1-C11-01	116.7 (6)	C1'—C11'—O1'	116.4 (6)
C1C11O2	122.8 (6)	C1'C11'O2'	121.3 (6)
01-C11-O2	120.5 (6)	01'—C11'—O2'	122.2 (6)

C2-C1-C6-C5	-73.8(6)	C2'-C1'-C6'-C5'	-72.2 (6)
C6-C1-C2-C3	70.0 (5)	C6'—C1'—C2'—C3'	71.9 (6)
C6-C1-C2-C8	-109.0(8)	C6'—C1'—C2'—C8'	-111.5(7)
C2-C1-C7-C4	54.0 (5)	C2'_C1'_C7'_C4'	53.5 (5)
C7—C1—C2—C3	-35.6(6)	C7'_C1'_C2'_C3'	-33.6(6)
C7-C1-C2-C8	145.4 (7)	C7'-C1'-C2'-C8'	143.1 (7)
C2-C1-C11-01	144.5 (5)	C2'-C1'-C11'-O1'	-53.4(7)
C2-C1-C11-O2	-36.1 (8)	C2'-C1'-C11'-O2'	128.4 (6)
C11-C1-C2-C3	-165.0(4)	C11'C1'C2'C3'	-161.7 (4)
C11—C1—C2—C8	16.0 (9)	C11'-C1'-C2'-C8'	14.9 (9)
C6-C1-C7-C4	-56.2 (5)	C6'C1'C7'C4'	-55.4 (5)
C7—C1—C6—C5	32,3 (6)	C7'—C1'—C6'—C5'	33.3 (6)
C6-C1-C11-01	-91.9 (6)	C6'—C1'—C11'—O1'	70.0 (7)
C6-C1-C11-O2	87.5 (7)	C6'—C1'—C11'—O2'	-108.1 (7)
C11C1C6C5	156.2 (5)	C11'—C1'—C6'—C5'	157.6 (5)
C7-C1-C11-01	22.3 (7)	C7'—C1'—C11'—O1'	-174.3 (5)
C7—C1—C11—O2	-158.3 (6)	C7'—C1'—C11'—O2'	7.5 (8)
C11-C1-C7-C4	-175.4 (4)	C11'C1'C7'-C4'	-176.8 (4)
C1-C2-C3-C4	0.8 (6)	C1'-C2'-C3'-C4'	-2.1 (6)
С1С2С3С9	116.9 (6)	C1'-C2'-C3'-C9'	116.1 (5)
C1-C2-C3-C10	-121.6(6)	C1'-C2'-C3'-C10'	-124.1 (6)
C8—C2—C3—C4	179.8 (5)	C8'—C2'—C3'—C4'	-178.8 (5)
C8—C2—C3—C9	-64.0(8)	C8'—C2'—C3'—C9'	-60.6(7)
C8-C2-C3-C10	57.4 (8)	C8'—C2'—C3'—C10'	59.2 (8)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-71.5(6)	C2'—C3'—C4'—C5'	-69.7 (6)
C2-C3-C4-C7	34.0(6)	C2'—C3'—C4'—C7'	36.3 (6)
C9—C3—C4—C5	171.9 (6)	C9'—C3'—C4'—C5'	173.5 (5)
C9—C3—C4—C7	-82.7 (6)	C9'—C3'—C4'—C7'	-80.6 (6)
C10-C3-C4-C5	50.2 (7)	C10'C3'C4'C5'	48.9 (7)
C10-C3-C4-C7	155.7 (5)	C10'—C3'—C4'—C7'	154.9 (5)
C3—C4—C5—C6	66.5 (6)	C3'-C4'-C5'-C6'	68.5 (6)
C3—C4—C7—C1	-53.9 (5)	C3'-C4'-C7'-C1'	- 56.0 (5)
C5-C4-C7-C1	60.0 (5)	C5'-C4'-C7'-C1'	58.0 (5)
C7—C4—C5—C6	-40.8 (6)	C7'—C4'—C5'—C6'	-38.5 (6)
C4-C5-C6-C1	5.4 (6)	C4'—C5'—C6'—C1'	3.7 (6)

The structure was solved using *SHELX*76 (Sheldrick, 1976). H atoms were located in the difference map and included in chemically sensible positions. Anisotropic refinement of all non-H atoms showed that the C atoms in the centre of the molecule had almost isotropic character and so refinement was repeated, with only those atoms on the periphery modelled anisotropically. This gave a better observation/parameter ratio.

Support is gratefully acknowledged to NSERC (TSC) and to SERC for a postgraduate award (JM).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HU1124). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Berson, J. A., Hammons, J. H., McRowe, A. W., Bergman, R. G., Remanik, A. & Houston, D. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 2590–2600.
- Bushell, A. W. & Wilder, P. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 5721-5722.
- Dadson, W. M., Hutchinson, J. H. & Money, T. (1990). Can. J. Chem. 68, 1821–1828, and references therein.
- David, C. W., Everling, B. W., Kilian, R. J., Stothers, J. B. & Vaughan, W. R. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 1265-1269.
- Houben, J. & Pfankuch, E. (1930). Annalen, 483, 271.
- Moews, P. C., Knox, J. R. & Vaughan, W. R. (1978). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 260–264.
- Nametkin, S. & Brussoff, L. (1927). Annalen, 459, 144-171.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.